



ATTACHMENT A

Status of Bills with an Official City Position

August 6, 2019

The following is a list of bills of interest in the U.S. Congress and California State Legislature. It provides a status update on those measures with an approved City position. Items highlighted in yellow indicate updated information from the last report.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	NLOC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
EPA Proposed Rule Update	EPA and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Proposed Revised Definition of WOTUS	N/A	Watch	EPA Review	Feb. 27 & 28, 2019
Status: First public hearing occurred on Feb. 4, 2019. Second hearings scheduled on Feb. 27 & 28, 2019						
Vote Summary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
District Voting Record <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Feinstein: No votes taken yet Senator Harris: No votes taken yet Congressman Hunter: No votes taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation that preempts local authority. 						
Description On December 11, 2018, the Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of the Army (the agencies) signed a proposed rule revising the definition of "waters of the United States" to clarify the scope of waters federally regulated under the Clean Water Act. The agencies are announcing that a public hearing will be held in Kansas City, Kansas, with sessions on February 27 and February 28, 2019, to provide interested parties the opportunity to present data, views, or information concerning the proposed rule. The agencies will take comment on the proposal for 60 days – until April 15.			Analysis The City's Creek District and other similar water ways may be affected by the outcome of these proposed revision of definitions. The proposed rule is part of both agencies' two-step rulemaking process to rescind and revise the 2015 Clean Water Rule to clarify which waterbodies are federally regulated under the Clean Water Act. The proposed rule aims to help landowners better understand and easily identify which waters require a federal permit. The agencies proposed limits to where federal regulations apply and, as a result, give states more flexibility to determine how best to manage waters within their borders. The proposed rule defines six categories of waters that are federally regulated as "waters of the U.S." and eight categories of exclusions. The six categories that would be considered "waters of the U.S." are traditional navigable waters, tributaries, certain ditches, certain lakes and ponds, impoundments, and adjacent wetlands. Categories that would not be considered "waters of the U.S." include waters that are not included in the categories above, as well as features that are only wet during rainfall events, groundwater, certain ditches, prior converted cropland, stormwater control features, wastewater recycling structures, and waste treatment systems.			
Supporters: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.						
Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.						

Attachment A

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	NLOC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
H.R. 1497	Rep. Peter DeFazio (D-OR-4), Rep. Grace Napolitano (D-CA-32), Rep. Don Young (R-AK-24), Rep. John Katko (R-NY-24)	Water Quality Protection and Job Creation Act	SUPPORT	Watch	House - Transportation and Infrastructure Committee	N/A
Status: Referred to the Subcommittee on Water Resources and Environment						
Vote Summary:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
District Voting Record						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Feinstein: No votes taken yet Senator Harris: No votes taken yet Congressman Hunter: No votes taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support legislation that will increase number and amount of available grants and other funding mechanisms for sustainability and energy efficiency programs at the local level. 						
Description			Analysis			
<p>The "Water Quality Protection and Job Creation Act of 2019," authorizes approximately \$23.5 billion in direct infrastructure investment over the next five years to address America's crumbling wastewater infrastructure and local water quality challenges.</p>			<p>This bipartisan bill would reauthorize and increase funding for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) program, which is an essential tool for communities to provide clean and safe water for residents and businesses. The bill would also extend the authorization of sewer overflow control grants to enable communities to better manage their wet weather flows and invest in green infrastructure and water and energy efficiency projects.</p>			
Supporters: National League of Cities, Alliance for the Great Lakes, American Concrete Pavement Ass'n, American Concrete Pipe Association, American Concrete Pressure Pipe Association, American Concrete Pumping Ass'n, American Council of Engineering Companies, American Iron and Steel Institute, American Public Works Association, American Road & Transportation Builders Association, American Society of Civil Engineers, American Supply Association, American Sustainable Business Council, Associated Equipment Distributors, Associated General Contractors of America, Bipartisan Policy Center, BlueGreen Alliance, California Association of Sanitation Agencies, Cahaba River Society, City of Atlanta, Department of Watershed Management, Clean Water Action, Clean Water Council, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Clean Water Construction Coalition, Concrete Foundations Association, Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute, Council of Infrastructure Finance Authorities, Ducks Unlimited, Ductile Iron Pipe Research Ass'n, Earthjustice, Environment America, Environmental Law & Policy Center, Freshwater Future, Grasslands Water District, Green Bay Metropolitan Sewerage District, Healing our Waters-Great Lakes Coalition, Hydraulic Institute, Illinois Council of Trout Unlimited, International Union of Operating Engineers, Laborers International Union of North America, League of Conservation Voters, Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District, Missouri Coalition for the Environment, Municipal Castings Association, National Association of Clean Water Agencies, National Association of Counties, National Association of Sewer Service Companies, National Concrete Masonry Ass'n, National Electrical Contractors Ass'n, National Heritage Institute, National Latino Farmers & Ranchers Trade Association, National Precast Concrete Association, National Ready Mixed Concrete Ass'n, National Rural Water Association, National Utility Contractors Ass'n, National Water Resources Association, National Wildlife Federation, Natural Resources Defense Council, North American Concrete Alliance, PennEnvironment, PennFuture, Pennsylvania Council of Churches, Plastics Pipe Institute,						

Attachment A

PolicyLink, Portland Cement Association, Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute, Prairie Rivers Network, River Network, Rural Community Assistance Partnership, Inc., Sierra Club, Southern Environmental Law Center, Tennessee Clean Water Network, Tilt-Up Concrete Association, U.S. Conference of Mayors, U.S. Water Alliance, United Association of Plumbers and Pipefitters, Vinyl Institute, Water & Sewer Distributors of America, Water and Wastewater Equipment Manufacturers Association, Water Environment Federation, Water Infrastructure Network, WaterReuse Association, Western Recycled, and Water Coalition.

Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	NLOC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
H.R. 1764	Rep. John Garamendi (D-CA-3), Rep. Ken Calvert (R-CA-42), and Rep. Rob Woodall (R-GA-7)	To amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act with respect to permitting terms, and for other purposes	SUPPORT	Watch	House - Transportation and Infrastructure Committee	N/A

Status: Referred to the Subcommittee on Water Resources and Environment

Vote Summary:

- No votes have been taken yet

District Voting Record

- Senator Feinstein: No votes taken yet
- Senator Harris: No votes taken yet
- Congressman Hunter: No votes taken yet

Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:

- Support the incremental revision of stormwater regulation and stormwater permitting in a manner that retains local flexibility to determine how best to meet the State’s water quality objectives.

Description

Amends the Federal Water Pollution Control Act with respect to permitting terms, and for other purposes. Primarily extending the maximum term for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits issued under the federal Clean Water Act from 5 to 10 years

Analysis

Current Federal law only provides for 5-year NPDES permits terms, which does not reflect construction schedules for public water and wastewater treatment projects. The American Society of Civil Engineers rates the nation’s wastewater infrastructure at D+ grade and suggests that \$271 billion in public investment over the next 25 years will be needed. According to the U.S. Conference of Mayors, 95% of spending on water infrastructure is made at the local level. This bill supports upgrades on aging water treatment plants to ensure projects are built on time and on budget in an effort to bring certainty to ratepayers and long-term efficiency to water suppliers. The bill will also extend the maximum term for NPDES permits issued under the federal Clean Water Act from 5 to 10 years, to better reflect the construction schedules for public agencies.

Supporters: National Association of Clean Water Agencies, National Association of Counties, United States Conference of Mayors, National Association of Counties, National League of Cities, National Water Resources Association, Association of California Water Agencies, California Association of Sanitation Agencies, WaterReuse Association, and Water Environment Federation.

Attachment A

Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
ACA 1	Aguiar-Curry (D)	Local government financing: affordable housing and public infrastructure: voter approval	Support	Watch	A. THIRD READING	TBA

Status: Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

Vote Summary:

- No votes have been taken yet

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet

Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation that expands community and economic development tools and funding options for cities and their businesses.

Description

The California Constitution prohibits the ad valorem tax rate on real property from exceeding 1% of the full cash value of the property, subject to certain exceptions. This measure would create an additional exception to the 1% limit that would authorize a city, county, or city and county to levy an ad valorem tax to service bonded indebtedness incurred to fund the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of public infrastructure or affordable housing, if the proposition proposing that tax is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, or city and county, as applicable, and the proposition includes specified accountability requirements.

Analysis

ACA 1 will lower the necessary voter threshold from a two-thirds supermajority to 55 percent to approve local general obligation (GO) bonds and special taxes for affordable housing and public infrastructure projects. ACA 1 is targeted to the urgent needs of local communities. This measure gives local governments a more realistic financing option to fund an increase in the supply of affordable housing, and to address the numerous local public infrastructure challenges cities, counties, and special districts are facing. However, it may adversely affect funding for local schools.

Supporters: American Planning Association-California Chapter, Association of California Healthcare Districts, California Association of Councils of Government, California Association of Housing Authorities, California Association of Sanitation Agencies, California Coalition for Rural Housing California Contract Cities Association, California Housing Consortium, California Housing Partnership, California Labor Federation: Afl-Cio, California Library Association, California Park & Recreation Society, California Professional Firefighters, California Special Districts Association, California State Association Of Counties, California State Association Of Electrical Workers, California State Council Of Laborers, California State Pipe Trades Council, California Transit Association, California Yimby, City of Camarillo, City Of Davis, City of Gustine, City Of Laguna Beach, City Of Lodi, City of Manteca, City Of Moorpark, City Of San Luis Obispo, County of Santa Clara, East Bay for Everyone, East Bay Municipal Utility District, East Bay Regional Parks District, Greater Merced Chamber of Commerce, Housing California International Union Of Elevator Constructors-Local 18, International Union Of Elevator Constructors-Local 8. International Union Of Operating Engineers-Cal-Nevada Conference, League Of California Cities,

Attachment A

Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, Non-Profit Housing Association Of Northern California, Professional Engineers In California, Government San Diego Housing Federation, San Mateo County-City/County Association Of Governments, Santa Clara Valley Water District, Silicon Valley At Home (Sv@Home), Solano Transportation Authority Southern California Association Of Nonprofit Housing, Spur, The Two Hundred, Urban Counties Of California Ventura Council Of Governments, and Western States Council Sheet Metal, Air, Rail And Transportation.

Opposition: Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association Valley Industry and Commerce Association

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 11	Chui (D)	Community Redevelopment Law of 2019	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	TBA

Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. on 4/25/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)

Vote Summary:

- No votes have been taken yet

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet

Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation that creates workable mechanisms to finance local government infrastructure and fills the revenue gap resulting from the dissolution of redevelopment in 2012.

Description

Authorizes a city or county or two or more cities acting jointly, to propose the formation of an affordable housing and infrastructure agency by adoption of a resolution of intention. Provides for a governing board of the agency. Requires the agency to submit an annual report, and final report of any audit undertaken to its governing body. Requires the governing board of an agency to designate an appropriate official to prepare a proposed redevelopment project plan.

Analysis

A re-introduction of AB 3037(Chiu, 2018); which failed to get out of the first house. If passed, it would reestablish a redevelopment tool for local government with a heavy focus on affordable housing production with a complex set of requirements, approvals and new governance structure. Despite, Gov. Newsom having recently stated that California will not reinstate the Redevelopment Agency but bills like AB 11 aim to fill the support an RDA would provide for affordable housing production.

Supporters: California Apartment Association, California Association of Realtors Leading Age, and California San Francisco Housing Action Coalition.

Opposition: California Teachers Association, Fieldstead and Company, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, Institute for Justice Pacific Legal Foundation.

Oppose Unless Amended:

California Professional Firefighters, California Special Districts Association, California State Association of Counties, and County of Santa Clara.

Attachment A

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 19	Waldron (R)	Vegetation Management	Watch	SUPPORT	Dead	TBA
Status: Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.						
Vote Summary:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
District Voting Record						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Actively seek grants and apply them to City Council priorities such as public safety, adoption of technology, and other critical community needs. 						
Description			Analysis			
Establishes a \$25 million General Fund grant program to help county road maintenance departments and local fire protection districts purchase vegetation management equipment to prevent wildfires along county-maintained streets and roads within High and Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones.			This bill would require the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection to establish a grant program to provide grants to county road maintenance departments and local fire districts to enable those departments and districts to purchase vegetation management equipment to be used to manage vegetation along streets and roads to prevent the ignition of wildfires on those roads or streets. The bill would require the department, by May 1, 2021, to provide a report to the Assembly Committee on Budget and the Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, containing specified information about the grant program. The bill would appropriate the sum of \$25,000,000 from the General Fund to the department to be used to provide grants under the program, as provided.			
Supporters: City of San Marcos						
Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.						

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 27	Rodriguez (D)	Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety Act	Pending Opposition	Watch	2 Year Bill	TBA
Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was L. & E. on 1/17/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)						
Vote Summary:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
District Voting Record						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any efforts that take away the ability of local agencies to choose their emergency response providers, increase EMS costs, or increase EMS reporting requirements. Support innovative efforts and pilot programs that enable emergency providers to control costs. 						

Attachment A

<p>Description Requires every current emergency ambulance employee and every new employee hired on or after January 2020, within 6 months of being hired, to attend a 6-hour training on violence prevention that includes, among other things, understanding the types of anger, proven and effective verbal de-escalation skills, and hands-on demonstrations, workshops, and role-playing scenarios.</p>	<p>Analysis Existing law, the Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act, enacted by the voters by Prop. 11, requires every emergency ambulance employee to annually receive employer-paid training relating to, among other things, responding to active shooter and mass casualty incidents and preventing violence against emergency ambulance employees and patients. It also would require employees to receive a one-hour refresher course each calendar year after their initial training at the cost of the employer while the employee is paid for time attending trainings.</p>
<p>Supporters: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.</p>	
<p>Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 68	Ting (D)	Land use: accessory dwelling units.	Oppose Unless Amended	OPPOSE	S. APPR.	8/12/19
<p>Status: Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assembly: 61-10 						
<p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation or proposed regulation that preempts local authority or weakens the independence of charter cities. Each community has unique needs and characteristics that are best addressed by the local governing body. 						
<p>Description Revises the law regarding accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and junior accessory dwelling units (JADUs). This bill would delete the provision authorizing the imposition of standards on lot coverage and would prohibit an ordinance from imposing requirements on minimum lot size.</p>	<p>Analysis This bill will make the following changes to existing ADU law: Eliminates minimum lot size requirements, require at least 800sqft ADU, require approval within 60 days, prohibit owner occupancy requirements.</p>					
<p>Supporters: California YIMBY [SPONSOR], AARP California, ADU Task Force East Bay, Bay Area Council, BRIDGE Housing, Building Industry Association of the Bay Area, California Apartment Association, California Association of Realtors, California Community Builders, California Teamsters, Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, Citylab – UCLA, Community Legal Services In East Palo Alto, EAH Housing, Eden Housing, Emerald Fund, Enterprise Community Partners, Inc., Facebook, Inc., Greenbelt Alliance, Habitat for Humanity East Bay/Silicon Valley (if amended), Hello Housing La-Mas, Larson Shores Architects, League of Women Voters of California, Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California, Openscope Studio, PICO California, PreFabADU, Related California,</p>						

Attachment A

San Diego Apartment Association, San Francisco Foundation, San Francisco Housing Action Coalition, Silicon Valley At Home (Sv@Home), Spur, Tentmakers Inc., Turner Center For Housing Innovation at the University Of California, Berkeley, The Casita Coalition, The Two Hundred, TMG Partners, Urban Displacement Project, UC Berkeley, Working Partnerships USA, and 10 Individual letters.

Opposition: American Planning Association, California Chapter (unless amended), City of San Marcos and League of California Cities (unless amended).

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 69	Ting (D)	Land use: accessory dwelling units.	Watch	Watch	S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE	TBA

Status: In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.

Vote Summary:

- Assembly: 78-0

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: Aye

Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:

- Oppose any legislation or proposed regulation that preempts local authority or weakens the independence of charter cities. Each community has unique needs and characteristics that are best addressed by the local governing body.

Description

This bill would require the department to propose small home building standards governing accessory dwelling units smaller than 800 square feet, junior accessory dwelling units, and detached dwelling units smaller than 800 square feet, as specified, and to submit the small home building standards to the California Building Standards Commission for adoption on or before January 1, 2021.

Analysis

This measure, the partner to AB 68, would set up a new set of rules, the Small Home Building Standard Code, for ADU’s under 800 square feet that will be developed by HCD and then submitted to the California Building Standards Commission for adoption. Such as prohibiting local jurisdictions from imposing lot coverage standards and minimum lot size; four-foot side and rear yard setback for all other ADUs; require off-street parking when pre-existing parking is demolished; requiring the correction of nonconforming zoning conditions; installation of fire sprinklers in an ADU if sprinklers are not required in the primary residence.

Allows the Department of Housing and Community Development to submit written findings to the local agency as to whether the ordinance complies with ADU law, and requires HCD to notify the local agency if it is in violation. Prohibits a local agency from issuing a certificate of occupancy for an ADU before the local agency issues a certificate of occupancy for the primary dwelling and would allow for JADUs to be constructed within new single-family residences.

Supporters: AARP California, ADU Task Force (East Bay), Bay Area Council, BRIDGE Housing, Building Industry Association of the Bay Area, California Apartment Association, California Association of Realtors, California Community Builders, California YIMBY, Casita Coalition, Community Legal Services in East Palo Alto, EAH Housing, Eden Housing, Enterprise Community Partners, Greenbelt Alliance, Habitat for Humanity California, Hello Housing, La-Mas, League of Women Voters of California, Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California, North Bay Leadership Council, OpenScope

Attachment A

Studio, PICO California, PrefabADU, Related California San Francisco Housing Action Coalition, SV@Home, SPUR, Tent Makers, The Two Hundred, TMG Partners, Turner Center for Housing Innovation, Urban Displacement Project, Working Partnerships USA , and one individual
Opposition: None on file

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 134	Bloom (D)	Safe, clean, affordable, and accessible drinking water.	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	TBA

Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(10). (Last location was E.Q. on 6/12/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)

Vote Summary:

- Assembly: 59-0

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: Did not vote

Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:

- Support the incremental revision of stormwater regulation and stormwater permitting in a manner that retains local flexibility to determine how best to meet the State's water quality objectives.
- Oppose one-size-fits-all stormwater permitting mandates and guidelines.

Description

Requires funding from a Safe Drinking Water Fund (Fund) or Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund (Fund) to be displayed in the Governor's annual budget and requires at least every five years the Legislative Analyst Office's (LAO) to provide an assessment of the effectiveness of expenditures from the Fund.

Analysis

Existing law, the California Safe Drinking Water Act, requires the State Water Resources Control Board to administer provisions relating to the regulation of drinking water to protect public health. This bill would state findings and declarations relating to the intent of the Legislature to adopt policies to ensure that every Californian has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible drinking water. Unknown direct impacts to San Marcos at this time.

Supporters: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.

Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 377	E. Garcia (D)	Microenterprise home kitchen operations	Watch	Watch	S. APPR.	TBA

Status: In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.

Vote Summary:

- Assembly: 74 - 0

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: Did not vote

Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:

Attachment A

- Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines.

<p>Description Modifies the conditions for a city, county, or city and county to permit microenterprise home kitchen operations within its jurisdiction. Requires an enforcement agency that is permitting and inspecting microenterprise home kitchen operations to annually report specified information about the operations within its jurisdiction and post a link to a report on the homepage of its internet website. Modifies the food safety standards applicable to microenterprise home kitchen operations.</p>	<p>Analysis The California Retail Food Code authorizes the governing body of a city, county, or city and county, by ordinance or resolution, to permit microenterprise home kitchen operations if certain conditions are met. This bill would modify the conditions for a city, county, or city and county to permit microenterprise home kitchen operations within its jurisdiction. The bill would require an enforcement agency that is permitting and inspecting microenterprise home kitchen operations to report specified information about the operations within its jurisdiction and post a link to a report on the homepage of its internet website. The bill would modify the inspections and food safety standards applicable to microenterprise home kitchen operations. The bill would prohibit an internet food service intermediary or a microenterprise home kitchen operation from using the word “catering” or any variation of that word in a listing or advertisement of a microenterprise home kitchen operation’s offer of food for sale. The bill would require a microenterprise home kitchen operation to include specific information, including its permit number, in its advertising. The bill would prohibit a third-party delivery service from delivering food produced by a microenterprise home kitchen operation. By expanding the scope of a crime for a violation of the code, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. Among other things, it strips the City of the ability to regulate the use.</p>
--	---

Supporters: California Association of Environmental Health Administrators, County Health Executives Association of California, and Health Officers Association Of California.

Opposition: City of Goleta, City of Hermosa Beach, City of South Pasadena, City of Vista, City of West Hollywood, and Valley Center Municipal Water District.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 516	Chiu (D)	Authority to Remove Vehicles	OPPOSE	OPPOSE	S. APPR.	8/12/19
Status: Re-referred to Com. on APPR.						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assembly: 49 - 11 <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senator Jones: No vote taken yet • Assembly Member Waldron: No 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines. 						
Description			Analysis			

Attachment A

<p>Eliminates the ability for cities and law enforcement to adequately enforce state and local vehicle violations. Specifically, this bill would eliminate the ability for cities and law enforcement to 1) “immobilize,” or place a boot on a vehicle, for motorists who ignore paying five or more parking tickets; 2) remove vehicles with expired registration that are operating illegally; and 3) remove vehicles in violation of parking time restrictions.</p>	<p>This bill would delete the authority of a peace officer or public employee to remove or immobilize a vehicle under certain circumstances. The bill would also modify the authority to remove a vehicle parked or left standing for 72 or more consecutive hours in violation of a local ordinance by requiring the vehicle to remain parked or left standing for 10 or more business days after a notice is affixed to the vehicle specifying the date and time after which the vehicle may be removed. The bill would repeal the related authority to conduct a lien sale to cover towing and storage expenses. The bill would make various conforming and technical changes.</p>
<p>Supporters: American Civil Liberties Union of California (Sponsor), Lawyers' Committee For Civil Rights (Sponsor), Western Center On Law & Poverty, Inc. (Sponsor), Access Women's Health Justice, Act For Women And Girls, Asian Americans Advancing Justice – California, Bay Area Community Services, Bend The Arc: Jewish Action, California Low-Income Consumer Coalition, California Partnership, California Public Defenders Association, California Reinvestment Coalition, California State Council Of Service Employees, California Voices For Progress, Community Housing Partnership, Community Legal Services In East Palo Alto, Courage Campaign, Disability Rights California, Ella Baker Center For Human Rights, Equal Rights Advocates, Food For People-The Food Bank For Humboldt County, Friends Committee On Legislation Of California, Homeboy Industries, Initiate Justice, Kiwa, Law Foundation Of Silicon Valley, Legal Aid Of Marin, Legal Services For Prisoners With Children, Legal Services Of Northern California, National Council Of Jewish Women Ca, National Lawyers Guild Los Angeles, Parent Voices California, Rubicon Programs, San Francisco Public Defender's Office, San Francisco Senior And Disability Action, The W. Haywood Burns Institute, Tipping Point Community, UDW/AFSCME Local 3930, United Food And Commercial Workers, Western States Council, Youth Alive!, Youth Justice Coalition</p>	
<p>Opposition: League of California Cities</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 724	Wicks (D)	Rental data registry	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	TBA
<p>Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/8/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
<p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support legislation that creates workable mechanisms to finance local government infrastructure and fills the revenue gap resulting from the dissolution of redevelopment in 2012. 						
<p>Description States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would create a rental registry that would serve as a repository for data collected by cities and counties about their stock of housing and other data on evictions, displacements, and other tenancy information.</p>			<p>Analysis Existing law creates various programs for the creation of housing. Existing law requires the Department of Housing and Conservation to establish the Housing for a Healthy California Program, which, among other things, provides grants and operating assistance to counties to create supportive housing opportunities, as specified. As one condition of receiving a grant, a county must agree to collect and report data to the department. This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would create a rental registry that would serve as a</p>			

Attachment A

repository for data collected by cities and counties about their stock of housing and other data on evictions, displacements, and other tenancy information.
Supporters: AIDS Healthcare Foundation, TechEquity Collaborative, and Western Center on Law and Poverty.
Opposition: California Apartment Association <i>Oppose Unless Amended:</i> California Association of Realtors

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 725	Wicks (D)	Housing Plans: Above Moderate Income Housing	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	TBA

Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was H. & C.D. on 2/28/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)

Vote Summary:

- No votes have been taken yet

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet

Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:

- Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines.

Description

Prohibits more than 20 percent of a jurisdiction's share of regional housing need for above moderate income housing from being allocated to sites with zoning restricted to single family development.

Analysis

The Planning and Zoning Law requires a city or county to adopt a general plan for its jurisdiction that contains certain mandatory elements, including a housing element. That law requires that the housing element include, among other things, an inventory of land suitable for residential development, to be used to identify sites that can be developed for housing within the planning period and that are sufficient to provide for the jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need determined pursuant to specified law. This bill would prohibit more than 20% of a jurisdiction's share of regional housing need for above moderate-income housing from being allocated to sites with zoning restricted to single-family development. By imposing additional requirements on the manner in which a city or county may satisfy its regional housing need, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

Supporters: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.

Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 755	Holden (D)	Tire Fee: Stormwater Permit Compliance Fund	Support	Watch	Dead	NA

Status: Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

Attachment A

<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 	
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support legislation that provides funding for habitat management planning, maintenance, administration and local control. 	
<p>Description</p> <p>Increases the California tire fee. Deposits additional moneys in the Stormwater Permit Compliance Fund, which would be established by the bill, and make the moneys available to the State Water Resources Control Board Division of Financial Assistance.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>The California Tire Recycling Act, until January 1, 2024, requires a person who purchases a new tire to pay a California tire fee of \$1.75 per tire, for deposit, except for 11/2% retained by retailers and as provided below, in the California Tire Recycling Management Fund for expenditure by the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery upon appropriation by the Legislature for prescribed purposes related to disposal and use of used tires. Commencing January 1, 2024, existing law reduces the California tire fee to \$0.75 per tire and changes the retailers' share to 3%. This bill would increase the California tire fee by \$1.50. The bill would deposit the additional moneys in the Stormwater Permit Compliance Fund, which would be established by the bill, and would make the moneys available to the State Water Resources Control Board Division of Financial Assistance. The bill would continuously appropriate moneys in the fund for competitive grants for projects and programs for municipal storm sewer system permit compliance requirements that would prevent or remediate zinc pollutants caused by tires in the state and for an annual audit of the fund. Money in the fund would be available upon appropriation for the administrative expenses of the fund, not to exceed 3% of the overall revenue annually deposited in the fund, except as specified.</p>
<p>Supporters: San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (Sponsor), Los Angeles County Division-League Of California Cities, and Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District</p>	
<p>Opposition: Auto Care Association Automotive Service Councils of California, California Autobody Association, California Automotive Business Coalition, California Chamber of Commerce, California Tire Dealers Association, CAWA, Independent Automotive Professionals, Specialty Equipment Market Association (SEMA), and U.S. Tire Manufacturers Association.</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 881	Bloom (D)	Accessory Dwelling Units	Oppose Unless Amended	OPPOSE	S. APPR.	8/12/19
<p>Status: Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assembly: 71 - 2 <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet 						

Attachment A

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assembly Member Waldron: No 		
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose statewide attempts to preempt local budgetary control or remove the ability of a local government to set its own policy. 		
<table border="1"> <tr> <td> <p>Description</p> <p>This measure makes several changes to existing law relating to Accessory Dwelling Units including removal of the owner-occupied and parking requirements.</p> </td> <td> <p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill will put limits on the criteria by which a local agency can determine where ADUs may be permitted to the adequacy of water and sewer services and the impact of ADUs on traffic flow and public safety. It also removes the authority for local agencies to require that applicants for ADUs be owner occupants and removes the ability for cities to require owner occupancy for either the primary or the accessory dwelling unit. It will require local agencies to ministerially approve ADUs on lots with multi-family residences and within existing garages.</p> </td> </tr> </table>	<p>Description</p> <p>This measure makes several changes to existing law relating to Accessory Dwelling Units including removal of the owner-occupied and parking requirements.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill will put limits on the criteria by which a local agency can determine where ADUs may be permitted to the adequacy of water and sewer services and the impact of ADUs on traffic flow and public safety. It also removes the authority for local agencies to require that applicants for ADUs be owner occupants and removes the ability for cities to require owner occupancy for either the primary or the accessory dwelling unit. It will require local agencies to ministerially approve ADUs on lots with multi-family residences and within existing garages.</p>
<p>Description</p> <p>This measure makes several changes to existing law relating to Accessory Dwelling Units including removal of the owner-occupied and parking requirements.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill will put limits on the criteria by which a local agency can determine where ADUs may be permitted to the adequacy of water and sewer services and the impact of ADUs on traffic flow and public safety. It also removes the authority for local agencies to require that applicants for ADUs be owner occupants and removes the ability for cities to require owner occupancy for either the primary or the accessory dwelling unit. It will require local agencies to ministerially approve ADUs on lots with multi-family residences and within existing garages.</p>	
<p>Supporters: California Apartment Association, California Association of Realtors, California Building Industry Association, and California YIMBY</p>		
<p>Opposition: League of California Cities (unless amended), City of San Marcos</p>		

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 953	Ting (D)	Cannabis: state and local taxes: payment by digital asset	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	TBA
<p>Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was B. & F. on 3/21/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
<p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support measures that would provide fiscal independence to cities. 						
<p>Description</p> <p>Allows the legislative body of a city or the board of supervisors of a county to determine and implement a method by which a licensee under The Medicinal and Adult-Use Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act may remit any city or county cannabis license tax amount due by payment using stable coins.</p>			<p>Analysis</p> <p>Existing law authorizes the legislative body of a city and the board of supervisors of a county to license, for revenue and regulation, and fix a license tax upon, every kind of lawful business transacted in the city or county, as specified. This bill, on and after January 1, 2020, would allow the legislative body of a city or the board of supervisors of a county to determine and implement a method by which a licensee under MAUCRSA may remit any city or county cannabis license tax amounts due by payment using stablecoins, as defined. The bill would authorize that city or county in determining that method to either accept stablecoins directly into a digital wallet controlled by that jurisdiction or to utilize a third-party digital asset payment processor that allows for the immediate conversion of any payments made by stablecoins into United</p>			

Attachment A

States dollars and deposit into an account of that jurisdiction.
Supporters: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.
Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 992	Mullin (D)	Open meetings: local agencies: social media	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	TBA
Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was L. GOV. on 3/7/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)						
Vote Summary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
District Voting Record <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any state efforts to further weaken the City's role in regional governance. 						
Description Provides that the Ralph M. Brown Act does not apply to the posting, commenting, liking, interaction with, or participation in, internet-based social media platforms that are ephemeral, live, or static, by a majority of the members of a legislative body, provides that a majority of the members do not discuss among themselves the business o a specific nature that is within subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body.			Analysis The Ralph M. Brown Act generally requires that the meetings of legislative bodies of local agencies be conducted openly. That act defines "meeting" for purposes of the act and expressly excludes certain activities from the application of the act. This bill would provide that the act does not apply to the posting, commenting, liking, interaction with, or participation in, internet-based social media platforms that are ephemeral, live, or static, by a majority of the members of a legislative body, provided that a majority of the members do not discuss among themselves business of a specific nature that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body of the local agency.			
Supporters: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.						
Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.						

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1112	Friedman (D)	Shared mobility devices: local regulation	OPPOSE	OPPOSE	2 Year Bill	TBA
Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(11). (Last location was TRANS. on 5/29/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)						
Vote Summary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assembly: 73 - 1 						
District Voting Record <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: Aye 						

Attachment A

<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines. 	
<p>Description Eliminates the ability for cities to fully regulate corporations that offer shared motorized scooters. Specifically, it prohibits cities from requiring speed limits slower than statewide speed limits, it requires cities to arbitrarily lower fees, and would restrict the trip data local governments can access.</p>	<p>Analysis This bill would define a “shared mobility device” as a bicycle, electric bicycle, motorized scooter, electrically motorized board, or other similar personal transportation device, that is made available to the public for shared use and transportation, as provided. The bill would require shared mobility devices to include a single unique alphanumeric ID. The bill would allow a local authority to require a shared mobility device provider to provide the local authority with deidentified and aggregated trip data as a condition for operating a shared mobility device program. The bill would prohibit the sharing of individual trip data, except as provided by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. The bill would prohibit a local authority from imposing any unduly restrictive requirements on mobility device providers that have the effect of prohibiting the operation of all shared mobility providers in its jurisdiction. The bill would allow a local authority to require shared mobility device providers to deploy shared mobility devices in accordance with fleet caps, reasonable insurance and indemnification requirements, equitable access requirements, and speed limits, as a condition of operating a shared mobility fleet. The bill would prohibit a local authority from subjecting users of shared mobility devices to requirements more restrictive than those applicable to users of personally owned similar transportation devices.</p>
<p>Supporters: Bay Area Council, Bird, California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, Central Coast Health Network, Circulate San Diego, Clinicas Del Camino Real, Clinicas Del Valle De Salinas, Congress of Racial Equality, Electronic Frontier Foundation, Environmental Defense Fund, Fast Link DTLA, Fixing Angelenos Stuck In Traffic, Interfaith Movement For Human Integrity, Internet Association, Los Angeles Metropolitan Churches, LULAC Dist. 17 Ventura County</p>	
<p>Opposition: California Walks City of Anaheim City of Long Beach City of Los Angeles City of Oakland City of Pasadena City of Riverside City of Sacramento City of San Diego City of San Francisco City of San José City of San José Department of Transportation City of Santa Ana City of Santa Monica City of Thousand Oaks Consumer Attorneys of California Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund Eric Garcetti, Mayor of the City of Los Angeles League of California Cities Los Angeles Department of Transportation Oakland Department of Transportation San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency 4 Individuals California walks Safe Routes Partnership Investing in Place California Bicycle Coalition People for Mobility Justice Transform National resources Defense Council CA Council of the Blind</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1177	Frazier (D)	Planning and Zoning: Housing Development	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	N/A
<p>Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was H. & C.D. on 3/25/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						

Attachment A

<p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senator Jones: No vote taken yet • Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 	
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support measures that would provide fiscal independence to cities. 	
<p>Description</p> <p>Deletes the requirement that a skilled and trained workforce be employed on any project when a development proponent submits an application for a multifamily housing development. Limits the requirement that prevailing wages be paid on a development that is not a public work to work on market rate units within the development and revises the exemption from this requirement as specified.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill would delete the requirement that a skilled and trained workforce be employed on any project subject to these provisions. The bill would also limit the requirement that prevailing wages be paid on a development that is not a public work to work on market rate units within the development and revise the exemption from this requirement to instead require that the project either: (1) include 10 or fewer units and be a wholly affordable project or (2) not be a public work.</p>
<p>Supporters: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.</p>	
<p>Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1197	Santiago (D)	California Environmental Quality Act	Watch	Watch	S. APPR.	8/12/19

Status: Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Vote Summary:

- Assembly: 72-0

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: Aye

Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation that creates workable mechanisms to finance local government infrastructure and fills the revenue gap resulting from the dissolution of redevelopment in 2012.

Description

Establishes an exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for projects approved or carried out by the City of Los Angeles for supportive housing funded by Measure HHH and emergency shelters funded by the Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP).

Analysis

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact subsequent

Attachment A

legislation that would establish a streamlined administrative and judicial process for environmental reviews performed pursuant to CEQA for affordable housing projects.
Supporters: Mayor Eric Garcetti, City of Los Angeles and BizFed (support if amended)
Opposition: California Environmental Justice Alliance, Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice, Center on Race Poverty and the Environment, Physicians for social Responsibility – Los Angeles

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1279	Bloom (D)	High Resource Areas	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	TBA

Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(10). (Last location HOUSING on 6/12/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)

Vote Summary:

- Assembly: 46-20

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: No

Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:

- Oppose any legislation or proposed regulation that preempts local authority or weakens the independence of charter cities. Each community has unique needs and characteristics that are best addressed by the local governing body.

Description

Requires certain development sites in high resource areas to allow for more density and height and makes these sites subject to “use by-right” approval.

Analysis

This bill requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to determine “high-resource areas” which are areas of high opportunity and low residential density not experiencing displacement or gentrification. The bill would, in high-resource areas, provide by-right approval for up to 100 units and 55 feet, if the project meets affordability requirement and site limitations.

Supporters: California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation (co-sponsor), Public Advocates (co-sponsor), and Western Center on Law and Poverty (co-sponsor).

Opposition: None on file.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1332	Bonta (D)	Sanctuary State Contracting and Investment Act.	OPPOSE	Watch	2 Year Bill	N/A

Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/8/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)

Vote Summary:

- No votes have been taken yet

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet

Attachment A

<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation or proposed regulation that preempts local authority or weakens the independence of charter cities. Each community has unique needs and characteristics that are best addressed by the local governing body. 	
<p>Description</p> <p>This bill would enact the Sanctuary State Contracting and Investment Act, which would, among other things, prohibit a state or local agency from entering into a new, amended, or extended contract or agreement with any person or entity that provides a federal immigration agency with any data broker, extreme vetting, or detention facilities services, as defined, unless the state or local agency has made a finding that no reasonable alternative exists.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill if passed would significantly limit state and local agencies ability to contract out for a variety of vital public service as it mandates that agencies create an ever-evolving restricted list of private venders through prohibiting cities from entering into a new, amended, or extended contract or agreement with any person or entity that provides a federal immigration agency with any data broker, extreme vetting, or detention facilities services, as defined, unless the state or local agency has made a finding that no reasonable alternative exists. It also mandates that an elaborate investigation and vetting process exist for agencies to adhere to before contracting for services while creating new avenues for protracted criminal and civil litigation against cities. This bill could potentially impact operations for virtually every department including police, fire, finance, legal, public works, etc.</p>
<p>Supporters: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.</p>	
<p>Opposition: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1356	Ting(D)	Cannabis: local jurisdictions: retail commercial cannabis activity.	OPPOSE	OPPOSE	A. INACTIVE FILE	TBA

Status: Ordered to inactive file at the request of Assembly Member Ting.

Vote Summary:

- Assembly:

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No vote taken yet
- Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet

Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:

- Oppose any legislation or proposed regulation that preempts local authority or weakens the independence of charter cities. Each community has unique needs and characteristics that are best addressed by the local governing body.
- Protect local authority and funding in the implementation of the Adult Use of Marijuana Act.

<p>Description</p> <p>Requires local jurisdictions that voted 50% more in favor of Prop. 64 back in 2016 to issue one cannabis retail license for every four onsite liquor consumption licenses issued.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill would be active if more than 50% of a local jurisdiction’s electorate voted in favor of AUMA, and would require a local jurisdiction to issue a minimum number of local licenses authorizing adult-use or medicinal retail cannabis commercial activity. It further requires such local jurisdictions to issue at minimum, enough local cannabis licenses to</p>
--	--

Attachment A

	<p>achieve a 1 to 4 ratio of retail commercial cannabis licenses to on-sale alcohol licenses, respectively. However, local jurisdictions will be allowed to establish a lower amount of issued local licenses if they submit to the electorate of that local jurisdiction, an ordinance specifying the level of participation in the retail commercial cannabis market it would allow, at the next regularly scheduled local election following the operative date of this bill. Such a measure will have to pass by 50% of more.</p>
<p>Supporters: United Domestic Workers of America UDW/AFSCME Local 3930 (Sponsor), Americans for Safe Access, Association of Cannabis Professionals, CalAsian Chamber of Commerce, California Cannabis Industry Association, California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, Cannabis Distribution Association, CannaCraft, High Desert Cannabis Association, SEIU State Council, Southern California Coalition, Veterans Cannabis Group, and Weedmaps</p>	
<p>Opposition: California State Association of Counties, City of San Marcos, League of California Cities Rural County Representatives of California, and Smart Approaches to Marijuana California</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1484	Grayson (D)	Mitigation Fee Act: housing developments.	Watch	Watch	S. APPR.	TBA
<p>Status: Do pass as amended, but first amend, and re-refer to the Committee on [Appropriations] (PASS)</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assembly: 74 - 0 <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: Yes 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation or proposed regulation that preempts local authority or weakens the independence of charter cities. Each community has unique needs and characteristics that are best addressed by the local governing body. 						
<p>Description Requires local agencies to publish fees for housing development projects on their internet website and freezes "impact and development fees that are applicable to housing developments" for two-years after a development application is deemed complete.</p>			<p>Analysis Prohibits a local agency from imposing a fee unless the type and amount of the exaction is identified on the agency's website at the time the development application is deemed complete. Freezes impact and development fees for 2 years after application is deemed complete.</p>			
<p>Supporters: California Association of Realtors (co-sponsor), California Building Industry Association (co-sponsor), California Housing Consortium (co-sponsor), California Apartment Association, Bay Area Council, Building Industry Association of the Bay Area, California Community Builders, California YIMBY EAH Housing, Facebook, Habitat for Humanity East Bay/Silicon Valley, Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California, North Bay Leadership Council, Related California, SV@Home, SPUR, and TMG Partners.</p>						
<p>Opposition: None on file.</p>						

Attachment A

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1486	Ting (D)	Surplus land	Watch	OPPOSE	S. APPR.	8/12/19
Status: Re-referred to Com. on G.O. Withdrawn from committee. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assembly: 53-20 <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation or proposed regulation that preempts local authority or weakens the independence of charter cities. Each community has unique needs and characteristics that are best addressed by the local governing body. 						
<p>Description</p> <p>Expands surplus property requirements for both the state and local agencies and promotes its use for affordable housing.</p>			<p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill makes various changes to the local and surplus lands act, including the following: 1) Specifies that the definition of "surplus" land is land not needed for the agency's own "governmental operations," and then defines this term 2) Allows any surplus land disposed of by a public agency to be permitted for residential use, regardless of local zoning designations, if 100% of the units are sold or rented at an affordable housing cost or affordable rent. 3) Lists numerous exemptions on what is considered "exempt surplus land." 4) Modifies procedures for notification of surplus lands and for how a local agency can negotiate with an interested party or parties on that land. The bill also requires each local agency to make an inventory of all lands held, owned or controlled by it in excess of its foreseeable needs for its governmental operations. The bill also places additional requirements on cities and counties to develop an inventory of land suitable for residential development to account for these surplus lands and allows HCD to notify the Attorney General if a city or county is in violation of provisions of the Surplus Lands Act.</p>			
<p>Supporters: Bay Area Housing Advocacy Coalition, California Apartment Association, Greenbelt Alliance Habitat for Humanity California, Hamilton Families, Oakland Tenant Union, Southern California Association of NonProfit Housing, Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation, Transform</p>						
<p>Opposition: (Unless amended) Association of California Healthcare Districts, Association of California Water Agencies California, Association of Sanitation Agencies, California Land Title Association, California Municipal Utilities association, California Special Districts Association, California State Association of Counties, City of San Marcos, Desert Recreation Districts, Irvine Ranch Water District, Mesa Water District, Orange County Water District, Rural County Representatives of California, Santa Margarita Water District, Stege Sanitary District, Urban Counties of California</p>						

Attachment A

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1640	Boerner Horvath (D)	Local government finance: budget reserves	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	N/A
<p>Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was L. GOV. on 3/18/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)</p> <p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet <p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose statewide attempts to preempt local budgetary control or remove the ability of a local government to set its own policy. 						
<p>Description Requires a local government by September 1, 2020, and annually thereafter, to submit a written report to the State Controller's office on how it plans to spend any of its budget reserves, as defined, on specified priorities over a 5-year fiscal period, including, among others, mental and behavioral health services and affordable housing.</p>			<p>Analysis Existing law requires the officer of each local agency, who has charge of the financial records of the local agency, to furnish to the Controller a report of all the financial transactions of the local agency during the next preceding fiscal year within a specified amount of time of the close of each fiscal year. This bill would require a local government by September 1, 2020, and annually thereafter, to submit a written report to the State Controller's office on how it plans to spend any of its budget reserves, as defined, on specified priorities over a 5-year fiscal period, including, among others, mental and behavioral health services and affordable housing; specifically regarding funds that in the immediately preceding fiscal year was in excess of 30 percent of the total expenditures of the local government in that fiscal year.</p>			
<p>Supporters: A full list of supporters has not been listed yet.</p>						
<p>Opposition: A full list of opponents has not been listed yet.</p>						

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
AB 1763	Chiu (D)	Planning and zoning: density bonuses: affordable housing.	Oppose Unless Amended	OPPOSE	S. APPR.	TBA
<p>Status: Do pass as amended, but first amend, and re-refer to the Committee on [Appropriations] (PASS)</p> <p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assembly: 72 - 0 <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: Yes <p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p>						

Attachment A

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation or proposed regulation that preempts local authority or weakens the independence of charter cities. Each community has unique needs and characteristics that are best addressed by the local governing body. 	
<p>Description Revises Density Bonus Law (DBL) to require a city or county to award a developer additional density, concessions and incentives, and height increases if 100% of the units in a development are restricted to lower income households.</p>	<p>Analysis A city or county must award to 100% affordable housing projects, the following density bonus: Four incentives and concessions; A density bonus that is 80% of the number of units for lower income households; For development within one-half mile of “a major transit stop,” a city or county must: Not impose any maximum controls on density; Allow a height increase of up to three additional stories or 33 feet; and Allow an increase in the allowable floor area ratio of up to 55% relative to the underlying limit or 4.25, whichever is greater. For a development within one-half mile of “a high quality transit corridor” a city, county, or city and county, shall: Not impose any maximum controls on density; Allow a height increase up to two additional stories, or 22 feet; and, Allow an increase in the floor area ratio of up to 50% relative to the underlying limit or 3.75, whichever is greater.</p>
<p>Supporters: California Housing Consortium (sponsor), Abode Communities, Affirmed Housing, AIDS Healthcare Foundation, C & C Development, California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation, Corporation for Supportive Housing, EAH Housing, Housing California, Many Mansions, Palm Communities, TELACU, The Kennedy commission, The Pacific Companies, Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation, and Western Center on Law & Poverty.</p>	
<p>Opposition: League of California Cities (unless amended), City of San Marcos</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 1	Atkins (D)	California Environmental, Public Health, and Workers Defense Act of 2019.	Watch	Watch	A. APPR.	TBA
<p>Status: Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senate: 28-10 <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation that preempts local authority. Oppose one-size-fits-all stormwater permitting mandates and guidelines. 						
<p>Description Enacts the California Environmental, Public Health, and Workers Defense Act, which prohibits a state or local agency from amending or revising its rules to be less stringent than the federal baseline standards pertaining to environmental protection.</p>			<p>Analysis The federal Clean Air Act regulates the discharge of air pollutants into the atmosphere. The federal Clean Water Act regulates the discharge of pollutants into water. The federal Safe Drinking Water Act establishes drinking water standards for drinking water systems. The federal Endangered Species Act of 1973 generally prohibits activities affecting threatened and endangered species listed pursuant to that act unless authorized by a permit from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service</p>			

Attachment A

or the National Marine Fisheries Service, as appropriate. This bill would require specified agencies to take prescribed actions regarding certain federal requirements and standards pertaining to air, water, and protected species, by imposing new duties on local agencies through a state-mandated local program.

Supporters: 350 Bay Area Audubon, California Breast Cancer Prevention Partners, California Association of Local Conservation Corps, California Coastal Protection Network, California Coastkeeper Alliance, California Interfaith Power & Light, California Labor Federation, California League of Conservation Voters, California Product Stewardship Council, California ReLEAF California State Parks Foundation, Californians Against Waste, CALSTART, Central Valley Air Quality Coalition, Clean Water Action, Coachella Valley Waterkeeper, Coalition for Clean Air, Defenders of Wildlife, Environment California, Environmental Defense Center, Environmental Defense Fund, Environmental Water Caucus, Environmental Working Group, Fossil Free California, Friends Committee on Legislation in California, Golden Gate Salmon Association, Heal the Bay, Humboldt Baykeeper, Independent California, Inland Empire Waterkeeper, Institute for Fisheries Resources, Los Angeles Waterkeeper, Los Cerritos Wetlands Land Trust, Mono Lake Committee, Monterey Coastkeeper, Mountain Lion Foundation, National Stewardship Action Council, Natural Resources Defense Council, Nextgen California, Orange County Coastkeeper, Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen’s Associations, Pesticide Action Network, Planning and Conservation League, Protect American River Canyons, Restore the Delta, Russian Riverkeeper, San Diego Coastkeeper, Santa Barbara Channelkeeper, Save Our Shores, Save The Bay, Seventh Generation Advisors, Sierra Club California, Southern California Watershed Alliance, State Building & Construction Trades Council, Surfrider Foundation, The 5 Gyres Institute, The Nature Conservancy, The Otter Project, The Trust for Public Land, Voices for Progress, WILDCOAST, Yuba River Waterkeeper, and Zero Waste USA.

Opposition: African American Farmers of California, Agricultural Council of California, Agricultural Energy Consumers Association, Almond Alliance, American Coatings Association, American Pistachio Growers, Auto Care Association, Brea Chamber of Commerce, Building Owners and Managers Association, California Agricultural Aircraft Association, California Association of Realtors, California Association of Winegrape Growers, California Building Industry Association, California Business Properties Association, California Chamber of Commerce, California Citrus Mutual, California Construction and Industrial Materials Association, California Cotton Ginners and Growers Association, California Farm Bureau Federation, California Forestry Association, California Fresh Fruit Association, California Grain and Feed Association, California Independent Petroleum Association, California League of Food Producers, California Licensed Foresters Association, California Manufacturers & Technology Association, California Metals Coalition, California Paint Council, California Restaurant Association, Camarillo Chamber of Commerce, CAWA – Representing the Automotive Parts Industry, Chemical Industry Council of California, Construction Employers’ Association, Family Business Association of California, Far West Equipment Dealers Association, Forest Landowners of California, Greater Coachella Valley Chamber of Commerce, Greater Conejo Valley Chamber of Commerce, Greater San Fernando Valley Chamber, Household & Commercial Products Association, International Council of Shopping Centers, Murrieta/Wildomar Chamber of Commerce, NAIOP National Federation of Independent Business, Nisei Farmers, League Orange County Business Council, Santa Maria Chamber of Commerce, Southern California Water Coalition, Southwest California Legislative Council, Torrance Area Chamber of Commerce, Tulare Chamber of Commerce, West Coast Lumber & Building Material Association, Western Agricultural Processors Association, Western Growers Association, Western Independent Refiners Association, Western Plant Health Association, Western States Petroleum Association, and Western Wood Preservers Institute.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 4	McGuire and Beall (D)	Housing	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	N/A
Status: Merged with SB 50						
Vote Summary:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
District Voting Record						

Attachment A

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senator Jones: No vote taken yet • Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 		
<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oppose any legislation that preempts local authority. 		
<table border="1"> <tr> <td> <p>Description</p> <p>Under existing law, various agencies administer programs to preserve and expand safe and affordable housing opportunities and promote sound community growth. This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would limit restrictive local land use policies and legislation that would encourage increased housing development near transit and job centers, in a manner that ensures that every jurisdiction contributes its fair share to a housing solution, while acknowledging relevant differences among communities.</p> </td> <td> <p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill creates a streamlined approval process for eligible projects within a half mile of fixed rail or ferry terminals in cities of 50,000 residents or more in smaller counties and in all urban areas in counties with over a million residents. It also allows creates a streamlined approval process for duplexes and fourplexes, as specified, in residential areas on vacant, infill parcels.</p> </td> </tr> </table>	<p>Description</p> <p>Under existing law, various agencies administer programs to preserve and expand safe and affordable housing opportunities and promote sound community growth. This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would limit restrictive local land use policies and legislation that would encourage increased housing development near transit and job centers, in a manner that ensures that every jurisdiction contributes its fair share to a housing solution, while acknowledging relevant differences among communities.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill creates a streamlined approval process for eligible projects within a half mile of fixed rail or ferry terminals in cities of 50,000 residents or more in smaller counties and in all urban areas in counties with over a million residents. It also allows creates a streamlined approval process for duplexes and fourplexes, as specified, in residential areas on vacant, infill parcels.</p>
<p>Description</p> <p>Under existing law, various agencies administer programs to preserve and expand safe and affordable housing opportunities and promote sound community growth. This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would limit restrictive local land use policies and legislation that would encourage increased housing development near transit and job centers, in a manner that ensures that every jurisdiction contributes its fair share to a housing solution, while acknowledging relevant differences among communities.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>This bill creates a streamlined approval process for eligible projects within a half mile of fixed rail or ferry terminals in cities of 50,000 residents or more in smaller counties and in all urban areas in counties with over a million residents. It also allows creates a streamlined approval process for duplexes and fourplexes, as specified, in residential areas on vacant, infill parcels.</p>	
<p>Supporters: California Alternative Payment Program Association.</p>		
<p>Opposition: Associated Builders and Contractors Northern California and Chapter California Assessors’ Association.</p>		

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 5	Chui (D)	Local-State Sustainable Investment Incentive Program.	Support	Watch	A. APPR.	TBA
<p>Status: From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senate: 31 - 4 <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senator Jones: No • Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support legislation that creates workable mechanisms to finance local government infrastructure and fills the revenue gap resulting from the dissolution of redevelopment in 2012. 						
<p>Description</p> <p>Establishes the program which would be administered by the Sustainable Investment Incentive Committee. Authorizes a city, county, city and county, jpa, eifd, affordable housing authority, community revitalization and investment authority or transit village development district to apply to the</p>			<p>Analysis</p> <p>This measure allows local governments to collaborate on state-approved redevelopment plans, which would be funded by reducing their contributions to local ERAFs. Funding can be used for five purposes: affordable housing, transit-oriented development, infill development, revitalizing and restoring neighborhoods, and planning for sea level rise.</p>			

Attachment A

Committee to participate in the program.
<p>Supporters: American Planning Association, California Chapter Bay Area Council Brentwood; City Of Burbank; City Of California Association For Local Economic Development Concord; City Of Cotati; City of Covina; City Of Crescent City; City Of Fort Bragg; City Of Fountain Valley; City Of International Union Of Operating Engineers, Cal-Nevada Conference Kosmont Companies Laguna Beach; City Of Laguna Niguel; City Of Lakeport; City Of Lakewood; City Of League Of California Cities Mayor of San Jose Sam Liccardo Moorpark; City Of Napa; City Of Novato; City Of Pasadena; City Of Pinole; City Of Placentia; City Of Rohnert Park; City Of Rosemead; City Of Salinas; City Of San Rafael; City Of Sand City; City Of South Pasadena; City Of Town Of Danville Vallejo; and City Of Working Partnerships USA.</p>
<p>Opposition: None received.</p>

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 6	Beall (D)	Housing production.	Watch	Watch	A. APPR.	TBA
<p>Status: Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senate: 38 - 0 <p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: Yes Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation that preempts local authority. 						
<p>Description Under existing law, various agencies administer programs to preserve and expand safe and affordable housing opportunities and promote sound community growth throughout the state. This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would help encourage housing production throughout the state, including streamlining approval processes, identifying sufficient and adequate sites for housing construction, and penalizing local planning that restricts housing production.</p>			<p>Analysis This measure, the third of the three-bill package (SB's 4, 5 and 6) is currently a legislative intent bill that would help encourage housing production throughout the state, including streamlining approval processes, identifying sufficient and adequate sites for housing construction, and penalizing local planning that restricts housing production.</p>			
<p>Supporters: American Planning Association-California Chapter, California Apartment Association, California Building Industry Association, California Contract Cities Association, California Housing Consortium, California YIMBY, Eden Housing, Irvine Community Land Trust, and Northern California Carpenters Regional Council.</p>						
<p>Opposition: None Received.</p>						

Attachment A

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 13	Wieckowski (D)	Accessory dwelling units	Oppose Unless Amended	OPPOSE	A. APPR.	TBA
Status: From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR.						
Vote Summary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senate: 34 - 2 District Voting Record <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: Aye Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation that preempts local authority. Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines. 						
Description Amends the Planning and Zoning Law. Authorizes the creation of accessory dwelling units in areas zoned to allow single family or multifamily dwelling use. Prohibits a local agency from requiring the replacement of parking spaces if a garage, carport, or covered parking is demolished to construct an accessory dwelling unit. Prohibits a local agency from requiring occupancy of either the primary or the accessory dwelling unit.			Analysis This a re-introduction of SB 831 from last year, making a number of changes to ADU law, including reducing development impact fees and eliminating other barriers for homeowners who want to construct ADUs. It prohibits local jurisdictions from imposing any impact fees on ADUs less than 750 square feet, and limit the charge on ADUs over 750 square feet to 25 percent of the fees otherwise charged for a new single-family dwelling on the same lot. It also prohibits replacement parking when a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished or converted into an ADU and prohibits owner occupancy requirements.			
Supporters: Bay Area Council, California Apartment Association, California Association Of Realtors, California Building Industry Association, California Chamber Of Commerce, California Yimby, Casita Coalition, Eden Housing, LA-Mas, Long Beach Conservation Corps, Oakland Chamber Of Commerce, PrefabADU, San Francisco Housing Action Coalition, San Jose Conservation Corps & Charter School, Santa Cruz YIMBY, Silicon Valley At Home, South Bay YIMBY, Southern California Rental Housing Association, SPUR, Turner Center For Housing Innovation At The University Of California, Berkeley						
Opposition: American Planning Association, California Chapter, California Special Districts Association, California State Association of Counties, Coalinga-Huron Recreation And Park District, Desert Water Agency, City Of Downey, City of San Marcos, El Dorado Irrigation District, Hayward Area Recreation And Park District, League of California Cities, Leucadia Wastewater District, Mt. View Sanitary District, Oceano Community Services District ,Ojai Valley Sanitary District, City Of Racho Cucamonga, San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District, Solano County Board Of Supervisors, Templeton Community Services District, City Of Thousand Oaks, Town Of Discovery, Bay Community Services District, Urban Counties of California, Ventura Council Of Governments.						

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 15	Portantino (D)	Property tax revenue allocations: Local-State	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	N/A

Attachment A

	Sustainable Investment Program.			
Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/13/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)				
Vote Summary:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 				
District Voting Record				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 				
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation that preempts local authority. Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines. 				
Description		Analysis		
This bill creates the Local-State Sustainable Investment Program (Program), which allows local agencies to build affordable housing, housing-related infrastructure, and public safety facilities.		This bill would establish the Local-State Sustainable Investment Program, which would be administered by the Department of Finance. The bill would authorize a city, a county, or a specified joint powers agency to apply to the Department of Finance for funding for projects that further certain purposes, including increasing the availability of affordable housing. The bill would require that funding under the program be provided by an allocation of ad valorem property tax revenues, as provided, and would limit the amount of funding approved under the program to \$200,000,000 per fiscal year and \$1,000,000,000 total. The California Teachers Association opposes this bill because it shifts property tax revenues away from ERAF used to support K-14 schools, and allocates them instead for other purposes, including the increase of affordable housing.		
Supporters: None received.				
Opposition: California Teachers Association.				

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 46	Jackson (D)	State Government: Emergency Services	SUPPORT	Watch	2 Year Bill	N/A
Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/13/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)						
Vote Summary:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
District Voting Record						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support legislation that returns local government input into the state telecommunication franchising process. Oppose legislation that diminishes or eliminates the collection of local franchise fees or diverts its collection by the state government. 						

Attachment A

<p>Description</p> <p>The California Emergency Services Act establishes the Office of Emergency Services in the office of the Governor and provides that the office is responsible for the state's emergency and disaster response services for natural, technological, or manmade disasters and emergencies, including responsibility for activities necessary to prevent, respond to, recover from, and mitigate the effects of emergencies and disasters to people and property. This bill would expand provisions of this office to authorize a city to enter into an agreement to access the contact information of resident accountholders through the records of a public utility as specified. The bill would also expand the types of public utilities that can enter into these agreements by defining public utility to include, among others, wireless telephony services.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>Expands provisions authorizing the City to enter into an agreement to access the contact information of resident accountholders through the records of a public utility. Expands the types of public utilities that can enter into these agreements by defining public utility to include, among others, wireless telephony services.</p>
<p>Supporters: County of Santa Barbara.</p>	
<p>Opposition: CTIA</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 48	Wiener (D)	Interim Housing Intervention Developments	Watch	Watch	2 Year Bill	N/A
<p>Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/13/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
<p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support legislation that works to solve the state and region's problems with homelessness as long as it also protects a City's ability to preserve public safety and livability. 						
<p>Description</p> <p>States the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that creates a right to shelter for unhoused residents throughout the state, which would be required to include the navigation center model. States the purposes of this legislation, including ensuring that every person living on California's streets has the ability to promptly secure shelter that is</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>Existing law establishes various entities and programs to provide assistance to homeless persons, including, among others, the Homeless Emergency Aid Program, the Emergency Housing and Assistance Program, the California Emergency Solutions Grants Program, homeless youth emergency service pilot projects, and the Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council. This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that creates a right to shelter for unhoused residents throughout the state and specifies that the right to shelter is not</p>					

Attachment A

safe and supportive. Specifies certain elements that this right to shelter would include.	intended to be in lieu of prioritizing permanent housing for people who lack housing.
Supporters: California Alternative Payment Program Association; California Apartment Association; California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation; California Yimby; Corporation For Supportive Housing; Housing California; Non-Profit Housing Association Of Northern California; San Francisco Housing Action Coalition; San Joaquin Continuum of Care; and Western Center on Law and Poverty.	
Opposition: None received.	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 50	Wiener (D)	Housing production.	Oppose Unless Amend	Watch	2 Year Bill	N/A
Status: Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/13/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)						
Vote Summary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No votes have been taken yet 						
District Voting Record <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No vote taken yet Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oppose any legislation that preempts local authority. 						
Description Requires a city or county to grant upon request an equitable communities incentive when a development proponent seeks and agrees to construct a residential development that satisfies specified criteria, including, among other things, that the residential development is either a job-rich housing project or a transit-rich housing project.			Analysis SB 50 is a re-do of SB 827(Wiener), which died in committee review last year. The new bill allows for housing projects that qualify under a newly established "equitable communities incentive" and would prevent city and county agencies from restricting higher-density housing within a half-mile of rail transit and within a quarter-mile of high-frequency bus lines. SB 50 also builds off of existing law by not adjusting affordable housing CEQA exemptions for multi-family residential development projects (see 2017-2018 SB 35 , SB 765). As SB 50 could specifically affect San Marcos, through a handful of mixed-use projects are planned in and around the defined transit areas that could be affected. If SB 50 passes, parking requirements and height restrictions set by the City code could be overridden. Additionally, it will allow if the right developer came along, affordable housing may be able to be produced more quickly given exemptions but at the loss of control by the City.			
Supporters: California Association Of Realtors (Co-Sponsor), California YIMBY (Co-Sponsor), Non-Profit Housing Association Of Northern California (Co-Sponsor), 6Beds, Inc., American Association Of Retired Persons, Associated Students Of The University Of California, Associated Students Of University Of California-Irvine, Bay Area Council, Black American Political Association of California, Bridge Housing Corporation, Building Industry Association Of The Bay Area, Burbank Housing Development Corporation, CalAsian Chamber Of Commerce, California Apartment Association, California Building Industry Association, California Chamber Of Commerce, California Community Builders, California Downtown Association, California Foundation For Independent Living Centers, California Housing Alliance, California Labor Federation-AFL-CIO, California League Of Conservation Voters, California Renters Legal Advocacy						

Attachment A

And Education Fund, California Public Interest Research Group, Circulate San Diego, Council Of Infill Builders, Eah Housing, East Bay For Every One, Environment California, Facebook, Inc., Fair Housing Advocates Of Northern California, Fieldstead And Company, Inc., First Community Housing Fossil Free California, Habitat For Humanity California, Homeless Services Center, House Sacramento, Housing Leadership Council Of San Mateo County, Indivisible Sacramento, Los Angeles Business Council, Monterey Peninsula, YIMBY Natural Resources Defense Council, New Way Homes, Nextgen, Marin North Bay Leadership Council, Orange County Business Council, People For Housing - Orange County, Related California, San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, San Jose Associated Students, Santa Cruz County Business Council, Santa Cruz YIMBY, Silicon Valley At Home, Silicon Valley Community Foundation, Silicon Valley Leadership Group, Silicon Valley Young Democrats, Spur State Building & Construction, Trades Council Of California, State Council On Developmental Disabilities, Technology Network, TMG Partners, University Of California Student Association, Up For Growth National Coalition, Valley Industry And Commerce Association, YIMBY Democrats Of San Diego County, and 1198 Individuals.

Opposition: AIDS Healthcare Foundation, American Planning Association-California Chapter Beverly Hills; City Of Chino Hills; City Of Coalition For San Francisco Neighborhoods Coalition To Preserve La Cow Hollow Association Dolores Heights Improvement Club Glendora; City Of Homeowners Of Encino Lakewood; City Of League Of California Cities Livable California Miraloma Park Improvement Club Mission Economic Development Agency Pasadena; City Of Rancho Palos Verdes; City Of Redondo Beach; City Of Santa Clarita; City Of Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association South Bay Cities Council Of Governments Sunnyvale; City Of Sutro Avenue Block Club/Leimert Park, Telegraph Hill Dwellers, Toluca Lake Homeowners Association, West Mar Vista Residents Association, and 5 Individuals.

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 128	Beall (D)	Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts: bonds issuance	SUPPORT	Watch	A. APPR.	TBA
Status: From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.						
Vote Summary:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senate: 25 - 8 						
District Voting Record						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senator Jones: No Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support the protection of existing local funding sources and the authorities that provide funding to the City of San Marcos. This support may include the preservation of state-shared revenues, and the ability to collect revenue for the use of public rights-of-way or city-owned facilities. 						
Description			Analysis			
Enhanced Infrastructure Districts (EIFD) are tools for cities and counties to cooperate regionally to finance projects and encourage economic growth. SB 128 eliminates the vote requirement to issue EIFD bonds.			This measure fulfills the Governor's promise to reduce the vote requirement specifically for EIFDs from 2/3rds to 55% to help make them a more viable economic development tool for local governments. The solution is to eliminate the vote requirement to issue EIFD bonds. The property taxes allocated to EIFDs are not new taxes or special assessments, and therefore not subject Proposition 13 voting requirements for issuing bonds. Public oversight and transparency are built in to the EIFD process. SB 128 streamlines the EIFD tool, making it a more practical and attractive tool for economic growth.			
Supporters: California Association for Local Economic Development (source), American Planning Association, California Special Districts Association, California State Association of Counties, California Transit Association, City of Indio, City of						

Attachment A

Lakeport, City of Merced, City of Sacramento, City of West Hollywood, City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County ,County of Stanislaus, Greater Sacramento Economic Council, League of California Cities, Madera County, and Economic Development Commission

Opposition: Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association and Southwest California Legislative Council

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 266	Leyva (D)	Public Employees' Retirement System: disallowed compensation: benefit adjustments.	OPPOSE	OPPOSE	A. APPR.	TBA

Status: Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Vote Summary:

- Senate: 31 - 4

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No
- Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet

Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:

- Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines.
- Support efforts to enact workers' compensation and pension reform that lowers employers' costs while still protecting workers. Support legislation that limits the ability of employees to receive workers' compensation benefits for occupational injuries/illnesses that result from stress, disciplinary action or performance evaluations or consultations.

Description

Establishes new procedures for employees covered by the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) in cases where their pensionable benefits are erroneously calculated and reported to CalPERS by their employer.

Analysis

This bill would require a public agency to pay from its General Fund any shortfall of a benefit should CalPERS determine that a retiree has received disallowed compensation. It would establish new procedures under PERL for cases in which PERS determines that the benefits of a member or annuitant are, or would be, based on compensation that conflicts with PEPPA and other specified laws and thus impermissible under PERL. The bill would also apply these procedures retroactively to determinations made on or after January 1, 2017, if an appeal has been filed and the employee member, survivor, or beneficiary has not exhausted their administrative or legal remedies. At the threshold, after determining that compensation for an employee member reported by the state, school employer, or a contracting agency is disallowed, the bill would require the applicable employer to discontinue the reporting of the disallowed compensation. The bill would require that contributions made on the disallowed compensation, for active members, be credited against future contributions on behalf of the state, school employer, or contracting agency that reported the disallowed compensation and would require that the state school employer, or contracting agency to return to the member any contributions paid by the member or on the member's behalf.

Attachment A

Supporters: California Professional Firefighters, AFL-CIO (Co-Sponsor), Peace Officers' Research Association of California (Co-Sponsor), California School Employees Association, AFL-CIO, and Riverside Sheriffs Association
Opposition: California Special Districts Association, California State Association of Counties, and League of California Cities

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 330	Skinner (D)	Housing Crisis Act of 2019	OPPOSE	OPPOSE	A. APPR.	TBA

Status: Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Vote Summary:

- Senate: 28 - 7

District Voting Record

- Senator Jones: No
- Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet

Issue areas associated with the City's Legislative Platform:

- Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines.

Description	Analysis
Prohibits the legislative body of a county or city from enacting an amendment or ordinance that would change the zoning classification of a parcel or parcels of property to a less intensive use within an existing zoning district below what was allowed under the general plan use designation and zoning ordinances of the county or city as in effect on January 1, 2018. Imposes a moratorium on housing development in certain jurisdictions.	This measure would, among other things, declare a statewide housing crisis and for a ten-year period, until 2030 prohibit a city from imposing parking requirements, adjusting impact fees, imposing impact fees on affordable housing projects, and limiting new design standards based on cost. If this bill passes no city will be able to downzone, impose parking requirements on new projects, increase impact fees, apply any fees to affordable housing, impose housing moratoriums, impose design standards that are more costly than those in effect in 2019, establish a maximum number of conditional use permits and enforce existing growth management ordinances. Given the plan for the City of San Marcos to revise both Public Facility Fees and its General Plan in the next two years, this bill will limit both revenue and the ability for the city to provide proper community services.

Supporters: Bay Area Council; Bridge Housing Corporation; Building Industry Association of the Bay Area; California Building Industry Association; California Community Builders; California Yimby; Enterprise Community Partners, Inc.; Facebook, Inc.; Silicon Valley At Home (Sv@Home); and TMG Partners.

Opposition: League of California Cities, City of San Marcos

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 438	Hertzberg (D)	Emergency medical services: dispatch	Watch	SUPPORT	A. SECOND READING	7/3/19

Status: Read second time and amended. Ordered to second reading.

Vote Summary:

- Senate: 32 - 4

Attachment A

<p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senator Jones: No • Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 	
<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oppose any efforts that take away the ability of local agencies to choose their emergency response providers, increase EMS costs, or increase EMS reporting requirements. Support innovative efforts and pilot programs that enable emergency providers to control costs. 	
<p>Description</p> <p>Senate Bill 438 prohibits a public agency from delegating, assigning, or contracting for 911 call processing or emergency notification duties regarding the dispatch of emergency response resources unless the delegation or assignment is to, or the contract is with, another public agency or made pursuant to a joint powers agreement or cooperative agreement.</p>	<p>Analysis</p> <p>Existing law, the Warren-911-Emergency Assistance Act, requires every local public agency to establish within its jurisdiction a basic emergency telephone system that includes, at a minimum, police, firefighting, and emergency medical and ambulance services. Existing law authorizes a public agency to incorporate private ambulance service into the system. This bill would prohibit a public agency from delegating, assigning, or contracting for “911” emergency call processing or notification duties regarding the dispatch of emergency response resources unless the delegation or assignment is to, or the contract is with, another public agency or made pursuant to a joint powers agreement or cooperative agreement. The bill would state the Legislature’s intent to affirm and clarify a public agency’s duty and authority to develop emergency communication procedures and respond quickly to a person seeking emergency services through the “911” emergency telephone system. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p>
<p>Supporters: California Fire Chiefs Association; California Professional Firefighters; City of San Marcos, and Fire Districts Association of California.</p>	
<p>Opposition: American Medical Response West; California State Association of Counties; County Health Executives Association of California; County Health Executives Association of California (Cheac); Emergency Medical Services Administrators' Association of California (Emsaac); Ems Medical Directors Association of California (Emdac); Fresno; County of; Rural County Representatives of California; and Urban Counties Caucus.</p>	

Bill Number	Author(s)	Bill Title	LOCC Position	Recommended San Marcos Position	Bill Location	Hearing Date
SB 592	Wiener (D)	Housing Accountability Act	Watch	OPPOSE	A. APPR.	TBA
<p>Status: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR.</p>						
<p>Vote Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senate: 38 - 0 						
<p>District Voting Record</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senator Jones: Aye • Assembly Member Waldron: No vote taken yet 						
<p>Issue areas associated with the City’s Legislative Platform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oppose any legislation that preempts local authority. • Oppose legislation that would limit or restrict local land use decision-making authority, including the imposition of one-size-fits-all, land-use, parking, building and development guidelines. 						

Attachment A

Description

The author of the bill used a “gut and amend” maneuver to completely rewrite this bill in an attempt to close loopholes in the state’s Housing Accountability Act. The original SB 592 addressed licensure for barbers and cosmetologists. The new version clarifies that a housing development doesn’t have to be a multi-unit project to be covered by the HAA. The HAA current law says a city can’t vote to reject a housing project that complies with its zoning and general plan. SB 592 would change “vote” to “take action” regarding a housing project.

Analysis

Applies the existing Housing Accountability Act (HAA) to any land use decisions. It modifies the HAA by including single unit, ADUs and bedroom additions to existing residential property. In addition, it modifies the actions the City must take to disapprove housing development projects, allow developers to seek compensatory damages for violations of the HAA, and provides that a general plan, zoning, or subdivision standard or criterion is not “applicable” for purposes of the HAA if the project could be approved without the standard or criterion being met.

Supporters: None on File.

Opposition: None on File.